



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/100,799	06/19/1998	HIROAKI KUBO	05058/71301	8949	
24367 75	90 03/26/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
SIDLEY AUSTIN BROWN & WOOD LLP			VILLECCO	VILLECCO, JOHN M	
717 NORTH H.	ARWOOD		ADTIBUT	DAREN AVE (DED	
SUITE 3400		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DALLAS, TX	75201		2612		
			DATE MAILED: 03/26/2004	- 1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/100,799	KUBO, HIROAKI			
Autisory Audion	Examiner	Art Unit			
	John M. Villecco	2612			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 08 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appelexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appliced in a timely filed amendment whit all (with appeal fee); or (3) a time	cation. A proper re ch places the appli	ply to a cation in		
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moderned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. \$ I 36(a) and the appropriate fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in		
 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b 	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of				
<u> </u>		See a NOTE leader N			
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth		see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or s	simplifying the		
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clain	ms.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	etion(s):				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely file	d amendment		
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NO	OT place the		
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly		
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	t(s) a) will not be entered or bould be rejected is provided belo) will be entered ow or appended.	and an		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: (6-2%					
Claim(s) objected to:					
• • •					
Claim(s) rejected: $(0-1)$ 39 $(1-1)$ Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: $(1-9)$					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper Nd(s)	Valla on			
10. ☐ Other:	WENE	M R. GARBER NY RATENT EXAMINE OGY CENTER 2600	R		
		\sim			

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the applicant's arguments are not persuasive.

Regarding claim 10, applicant argues that the second interpolation of the applicants invention is not the second interpolation in Suzuki. However, the claim only requires a first interpolation when displaying and a second interpolation when recording. Clearly since the claims are so broadly written, the two interpolation processes discussed in Figures 2 and 3 represent different interpolation processes which are differ from each other. The first interpolation disclosed in Figure 2 is used for performing interpolation before recording. The second interpolation (inverse interpolation) is performed upon reproduction (col. 6, line 2) and the iamge data is not stored again in the memory card as the applicant suggests on page 3 of the response.

Furthermore, applicant argues that Suzuki fails to disclose a display for displaying the image data transferred from the imaging device. Applicant contends that the inverse interpolation is applied to image data fromt en memory card and not from the imaging device. However, any image data that is stored on the memory card has first been captured by the imaging device, albeit indirectly. Therefore, Suzuki teaches displaying the image data transferred from the imaging device.